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Editor’s Note: An exceptional commentary on the spiritual basis for opposition to war that is most relevant to our government’s invasion of Iraq.

A time comes when silence is betrayal. That time has come for us in relation to Vietnam.

The truth of these words is beyond doubt but the mission to which they call us is a most difficult one. Even when pressed by the demands of inner truth, men do not easily assume the task of opposing their government’s policy, especially in time of war. Nor does the human spirit move without great difficulty against all the apathy of conformist thought within one’s own bosom and in the surrounding world. Moreover, when the issues at hand seem as perplexed as they often do in the case of this dreadful conflict, we are always on the verge of being mesmerized by uncertainty; but we must move on.

Over the past two years, as I have moved to break the betrayal of my own silences and to speak from the burnings of my own heart, as I have called for radical departures from the destruction of Vietnam, many persons have questioned me about the wisdom of my path. At the heart of their concerns this query has often loomed large and loud: “Why are you speaking about war, Dr. King? Why are you joining the voices of dissent?” “Peace and civil rights don’t mix,” they say. “Aren’t you hurting the cause of your people?” they ask. And when I hear them, though I often understand the source of their concern, I am nevertheless greatly saddened, for such questions mean that the inquirers have not really known me, my commitment, or my calling. Indeed, their questions suggest that they do not know the world in which they live.

There is at the outset a very obvious and almost facile connection between the war in Vietnam and the struggle I, and others, have been waging in America. A few years ago there was a shining moment in that struggle. It seemed as if there was a real promise of hope for the poor — both black and white — through the poverty program. There were experiments, hopes, new beginnings. Then came the buildup in Vietnam and I watched the program broken and eviscerated as if it were some idle political plaything of a society gone mad on war, and I knew that America would never invest the necessary funds or energies in rehabilitation of its poor so long as adventures like Vietnam continued to draw men and skills and money like some demonic destructive suction tube. So I was increasingly compelled to see the war as an enemy of the poor and to attack it as such.

Perhaps the more tragic recognition of reality took place when it became clear to me that the war was doing far more than devastating the hopes of the poor at home. It was sending their sons and their brothers and their husbands to fight and to die in extraordinarily high proportions relative to the rest of the population. We were taking the black young men who had been crippled by our society and sending them eight thousand miles away to guarantee liberties in Southeast Asia which they had not found in southwest Georgia and East Harlem. So we have been repeatedly faced with the cruel irony of watching Negro and white boys on TV screens as they kill and die together for a nation that has been unable to seat them together in the same schools. So we watch them in brutal solidarity burning the huts of a poor village, but we realize that they would never live on the same block in Detroit. I could not be silent in the face of such cruel manipulation of the poor.

Now, it should be incandescently clear that no one who has any concern for the integrity and life of America today can ignore the present war. If America’s soul becomes totally poisoned, part of the autopsy must read “Vietnam.” It can never be saved so long as it destroys the deepest hopes of men the world over. So it is that those of us who are yet determined that America will be free are led down the path of protest and dissent, working for the health of our land.
This I believe to be the privilege and the burden of all of us who deem ourselves bound by allegiances and loyalties which are broader and deeper than nationalism and which go beyond our nation's self-defined goals and positions. We are called to speak for the weak, for the voiceless, for victims of our nation, and for those it calls "enemy," for no document from human hands can make these humans any less our brothers.

And as I ponder the madness of Vietnam and search within myself for ways to understand and respond with compassion, my mind goes constantly to the people of that peninsula. I speak now not of the soldiers of each side, not of the junta in Saigon, but simply of the people who have been living under the curse of war for almost three continuous decades now. I think of them too because it is clear to me that there will be no meaningful solution there until some attempt is made to know them and hear their broken cries.

They must see Americans as strange liberators. They watch as we poison their water, as we kill a million acres of their crops. They must weep as the bulldozers roar through their areas preparing to destroy the precious trees. They wander into the hospitals, with at least twenty casualties from American firepower for one Vietcong-inflicted injury. So far we may have killed a million of them — mostly children. They wander into the towns and see thousands of the children, homeless, without clothes, running in packs on the streets like animals. They see the children, degraded by our soldiers as they beg for food. They see the children selling their sisters to our soldiers, soliciting for their mothers.

We have destroyed their two most cherished institutions: the family and the village. We have destroyed their land and their crops. We have cooperated in the crushing of the nation's only non-Communist revolutionary political force — the unified Buddhist church. We have supported the enemies of the peasants of Saigon. We have corrupted their women and children and killed their men. What liberators?

Now there is little left to build on — save bitterness. Soon the only solid physical foundations remaining will be found at our military bases and in the concrete of the concentration camps we call fortified hamlets. The peasants may well wonder if we plan to build our new Vietnam on such grounds as these? Could we blame them for such thoughts? We must speak for them and raise the questions they cannot raise. These too are our brothers.

Perhaps the more difficult but no less necessary task is to speak for those who have been designated as our enemies. What of the National Liberation Front — that strangely anonymous group we call “VC” or Communists? What must they think of us in America when they realize that we permitted the repression and cruelty of Diem which helped to bring them into being as a resistance group in the South? What do they think of our condoning the violence which led to their own taking up of arms? How can they believe in our integrity when now we speak of “aggression from the North” as if there were nothing more essential to the war? How can they trust us when now we charge them with violence after the murderous reign of Diem and charge them with violence while we pour every new weapon of death into their land? Surely we must understand their feelings even if we do not condone their actions. Surely we must see that the men we supported pressed them to their violence. Surely we must see that our own computerized plans of destruction simply dwarf their greatest acts.

Here is the true meaning and value of compassion and nonviolence when it helps us to see the enemy’s point of view, to hear his questions, to know his assessment of ourselves. For from his view we may indeed see the basic weaknesses of our own condition, and if we are mature, we may learn and grow and profit from the wisdom of the brothers who are called the opposition.

At this point I should make it clear that while I have tried in these last few minutes to give a voice to the voiceless in Vietnam and to understand the arguments of those who are called “enemy,” I am as deeply concerned about our troops there as anything else. For it occurs to me that what we are submitting them to in Vietnam is not simply the brutalizing process that goes on in any war where armies face each other and seek to destroy. We are adding cynicism to the process of death, for they must know after a short period there that none of the things we claim to be fighting for are really involved. Before long they must know that their government has sent them into a struggle among Vietnamese, and the more sophisticated surely realize that we are on the side of the wealthy and the secure while we create hell for the poor.

Somehow this madness must cease. We must stop now. I speak as a child of God and brother to the suffering poor of Vietnam. I speak for those whose land is being laid waste, whose homes...
are being destroyed, whose culture is being subverted. I speak
for the poor of America who are paying the double price of
smashed hopes at home and death and corruption in Vietnam.
I speak as a citizen of the world, for the world as it stands
aghast at the path we have taken. I speak as an American to the
leaders of my own nation. The great initiative in this war is
ours. The initiative to stop it must be ours.

This is the message of the great Buddhist leaders of Vietnam.
Recently one of them wrote these words:

Each day the war goes on the hatred increases in the
heart of the Vietnamese and in the hearts of those of
humanitarian instinct. The Americans are forcing
even their friends into becoming their enemies. It is
curious that the Americans, who calculate so carefully
on the possibilities of military victory, do not realize
that in the process they are incurring deep
psychological and political defeat. The image of
America will never again be the image of revolution,
freedom and democracy, but the image of violence
and militarism.

If we continue, there will be no doubt in my mind and in the
mind of the world that we have no honorable intentions in
Vietnam. It will become clear that our minimal expectation is
to occupy it as an American colony and men will not refrain
from thinking that our maximum hope is to goad China into
a war so that we may bomb her nuclear installations. If we do
not stop our war against the people of Vietnam immediately
the world will be left with no other alternative than to see this
as some horribly clumsy and deadly game we have decided to
play.

The world now demands a maturity of America that we may
not be able to achieve. It demands that we admit that we have
been wrong from the beginning of our adventure in Vietnam,
that we have been detrimental to the life of the Vietnamese
people. The situation is one in which we must be ready to turn
sharply from our present ways.

During the past ten years we have seen emerge a pattern of
suppression which now has justified the presence of U.S.
military “advisors” in Venezuela. This need to maintain social
stability for our investments accounts for the counter-
revolutionary action of American forces in Guatemala. It tells
why American helicopters are being used against guerrillas in
Colombia and why American napalm and green beret forces
have already been active against rebels in Peru. It is with such
activity in mind that the words of the late John F. Kennedy
come back to haunt us. Five years ago he said, “Those who
make peaceful revolution impossible will make violent
revolution inevitable.” Increasingly, by choice or by accident,
this is the role our nation has taken — the role of those who
make peaceful revolution impossible by refusing to give up
the privileges and the pleasures that come from the immense
profits of overseas investment.

I am convinced that if we are to get on the right side of the
world revolution, we as a nation must undergo a radical
revolution of values. We must rapidly begin the shift from a
“thing-oriented” society to a “person-oriented” society. When
machines and computers, profit motives and property rights
are considered more important than people, the giant triplets
of racism, materialism, and militarism are incapable of being
conquered.

A genuine revolution of values means in the final analysis that
our loyalties must become ecumenical rather than sectional.
Every nation must now develop an overriding loyalty to
mankind as a whole in order to preserve the best in their
individual societies.

This call for a world-wide fellowship that lifts neighborly
concern beyond one’s tribe, race, class, and nation is in reality a
call for an all-embracing and unconditional love for all men.
This oft misunderstood and misinterpreted concept — so
readily dismissed by the Nietzsches of the world as a weak and
cowardly force — has now become an absolute necessity for
the survival of man. When I speak of love I am not speaking of
some sentimental and weak response. I am speaking of that
force which all of the great religions have seen as the supreme
unifying principle of life. Love is somehow the key that unlocks
the door which leads to ultimate reality. This Hindu-Moslem-
Christian-Jewish-Buddhist belief about ultimate reality is
beautifully summed up in the first epistle of Saint John:

Let us love one another; for love is God and everyone
that loveth is born of God and knoweth God. He
that loveth not knoweth not God; for God is love.
If we love one another God dwelleth in us, and his
love is perfected in us.

Let us hope that this spirit will become the order of the day.
We can no longer afford to worship the god of hate or bow
before the altar of retaliation. The oceans of history are made
turbulent by the ever-rising tides of hate. History is cluttered
with the wreckage of nations and individuals that pursued this
self-defeating path of hate. As Arnold Toynbee says: “Love is
the ultimate force that makes for the saving choice of life and
good against the damning choice of death and evil. Therefore
the first hope in our inventory must be the hope that love is
going to have the last word.”
Roshi's Post-Sesshin Schedule
In the future, Roshi will be away from the center and will not be available for dokusan during the week following the April and October sesshins. Roshi will be at the center during the week following the June and December sesshins and will offer dokusan beginning on Tuesday evening. Roshi will not offer dokusan on the Tuesday morning following the June and December sesshins.

Gassho Corner
Deep bows to David Lee for his hard work in helping the center acquire the beautiful new taiko drum and for bringing the center’s snowthrower back to life at a time when it was desperately needed. David and his son, Jafe, are designing and building a permanent stand to hold the taiko drum.

Upcoming Events
Prajna Ango Opening Ceremony      March 4
MWW / Lay Order Meeting           March 10

Kannon Ceremony                   March 15
Dharma Discussion                 March 18
Chanting Practice                 March 22
Zazenkai                         March 25
Repentance Ceremony               April 5
Q&A                              April 10
Sangha Meeting                    April 15
Sesshin                          April 21-28
Shosan                           April 28
Philip Kapleau Remembrance Ceremony May 6
Ceremony of Aid                   May 10
Vesak                            May 20
Q&A                              June 12
Sesshin                          June 23-30
Shosan                           June 30
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